

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

San Francisco Sentencing Commission

AGENDA

Tuesday March 15, 2022, 10:00 am

REMOTE MEETING VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE

Zoom link: <https://sfdistrictattorney.zoom.us/j/89383471593>

Meeting ID: 893 8347 1593

Call-in: 877 369 0926 US Toll-free

Consistent with state and local orders addressing the COVID-19 pandemic, this meeting of the Sentencing Commission will be held remotely via videoconference. The Sentencing Commission meetings held through videoconferencing will allow remote public comment via the videoconference or through the number noted above. Members of the public are encouraged to participate remotely by submitting written comments electronically to josie.halpern-finnerty@sfgov.org. These comments will be made part of the official public record in these matters and shall be brought to the attention of the members of the Subcommittee. Explanatory and/or Supporting Documents, if any, will be posted at: <https://sfdistrictattorney.org/sentencing-commission-relevant-documents>

1. Call to Order; Roll call.

Pursuant to Sentencing Commission By Laws the Chair shall present the ancestral homeland acknowledgement of the Ramaytush Ohlone, who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula.

2. Public Comment on Any Item Listed Below (discussion only).
3. Findings to Allow Teleconferenced Meetings Under California Government Code Section 54953(e) (Discussion and Action).

The Sentencing Commission will consider adoption of a resolution making findings that newly-enacted Government Code Section 54953(e) requires in order to allow the Sentencing Commission to hold meetings remotely, as currently required under local law, without complying with infeasible Brown Act requirements.

4. Review and Adoption of Meeting Minutes from December 14, 2021 (discussion & possible action).
5. Staff Report on Sentencing Commission Activities and Reports from the Reentry Council and the Family Violence Council (discussion & possible action).
 - a. Introduction of the Young Adult Justice Initiative Coordinator, Patricia Martinez.
 - b. Update from Member Director Karen Roye.
 - c. Update from Member Andrew Tan.

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

6. Staff Report on Criminal Justice Racial Equity Workgroup (discussion & possible action).
7. Safety and Justice Challenge Updates by Josie Halpern-Finnerty, Safety and Justice Challenge Director (discussion & possible action).
 - a. Introduction of the Safety and Justice Challenge Fellows.
 - b. Jail Population Report.
 - c. Strategy Updates.
8. Annual Review of San Francisco Sentencing Trends by Dr. Mikaela Rabinowitz, Office of the San Francisco District Attorney, Director of Data Research and Analytics (discussion & possible action).
9. Presentation on California Advancing and Innovating Medi-Cal (CalAIM) by Dr. Horton Chief Medical Officer, San Francisco Health Network, Attending physician, Richard Fine People's Clinic, and Professor of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco (discussion & possible action).
10. Members' Comments, Questions, Requests for Future Agenda Items (discussion & possible action).
11. Public Comment on Any Item Listed Above, as well as Items not Listed on the Agenda.
12. Adjournment.

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

SUBMITTING WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENT TO THE SAN FRANCISCO SAFETY AND JUSTICE SUBCOMMITTEE

Persons who are unable to attend the public meeting may submit to the San Francisco Safety and Justice Challenge Subcommittee, by the time the proceedings begin, written comments regarding the subject of the meeting. These comments will be made a part of the official public record and brought to the attention of the Subcommittee. Written comments should be submitted to: Josie Halpern-Finnerty, San Francisco District Attorney's Office, via email: josie.halpern-finnerty@sfgov.org

MEETING MATERIALS

Copies of agendas, minutes, and explanatory documents are available through the Sentencing Commission website at <http://www.sfdistrictattorney.org> or by emailing josie.halpern-finnerty@sfgov.org. The material can be faxed or mailed to you upon request.

ACCOMMODATIONS

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact Josie Halpern-Finnerty at josie.halpern-finnerty@sfgov.org at least two business days before the meeting.

TRANSLATION

Interpreters for languages other than English are available on request. Sign language interpreters are also available on request. For either accommodation, please contact Josie Halpern-Finnerty at josie.halpern-finnerty@sfgov.org at least two business days before the meeting.

CHEMICAL SENSITIVITIES

To assist the City in its efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

KNOW YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code)

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decisions in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. Copies of the Sunshine Ordinance can be obtained from the Clerk of the Sunshine Task Force, the San Francisco Public Library, and on the City's web site at: www.sfgov.org/sunshine.

FOR MORE INFORMATION ON YOUR RIGHTS UNDER THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE OR TO REPORT A VIOLATION OF THE ORDINANCE, CONTACT THE SUNSHINE ORDINANCE TASK FORCE:

Administrator
Sunshine Ordinance Task Force
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place,
San Francisco, CA 94102-4683.
Telephone: (415) 554-7724
E-Mail: soft@sfgov.org

CELL PHONES

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Co-Chairs may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

LOBBYIST ORDINANCE

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (SF Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2300, FAX (415) 581-2317, and web site <http://www.sfgov.org/ethics/>

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

Resolution NO. 21-001

San Francisco Sentencing Commission

RESOLUTION MAKING FINDINGS TO ALLOW TELECONFERENCED MEETINGS UNDER CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54953(e)

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 54953(e) empowers local policy bodies to convene by teleconferencing technology during a proclaimed state of emergency under the State Emergency Services Act so long as certain conditions are met; and

WHEREAS, In March, 2020, the Governor of the State of California proclaimed a state of emergency in California in connection with the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (“COVID-19”) pandemic, and that state of emergency remains in effect; and

WHEREAS, In February 25, 2020, the Mayor of the City and County of San Francisco (the “City”) declared a local emergency, and on March 6, 2020 the City’s Health Officer declared a local health emergency, and both those declarations also remain in effect; and

WHEREAS, On March 11 and March 23, 2020, the Mayor issued emergency orders suspending select provisions of local law, including sections of the City Charter, that restrict teleconferencing by members of policy bodies; those orders remain in effect, so City law currently allows policy bodies to meet remotely if they comply with restrictions in State law regarding teleconference meetings; and

WHEREAS, On September 16, 2021, the Governor signed AB 361, a bill that amends the Brown Act to allow local policy bodies to continue to meet by teleconferencing during a state of emergency without complying with restrictions

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

Resolution NO. 21-001

in State law that would otherwise apply, provided that the policy bodies make certain findings at least once every 30 days; and

WHEREAS, While federal, State, and local health officials emphasize the critical importance of vaccination and consistent mask-wearing to prevent the spread of COVID-19, the City's Health Officer has issued at least one order (Health Officer Order No. C19-07y, available online at www.sfdph.org/healthorders) and one directive (Health Officer Directive No. 2020-33i, available online at www.sfdph.org/directives) that continue to recommend measures to promote physical distancing and other social distancing measures, such as masking, in certain contexts; and

WHEREAS, The California Department of Industrial Relations Division of Occupational Safety and Health ("Cal/OSHA") has promulgated Section 3205 of Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, which requires most employers in California, including in the City, to train and instruct employees about measures that can decrease the spread of COVID-19, including physical distancing and other social distancing measures; and

WHEREAS, Without limiting any requirements under applicable federal, state, or local pandemic-related rules, orders, or directives, the City's Department of Public Health, in coordination with the City's Health Officer, has advised that for group gatherings indoors, such as meetings of boards and commissions, people can increase safety and greatly reduce risks to the health and safety of attendees from COVID-19 by maximizing ventilation, wearing well-fitting masks (as required by Health Officer Order No. C19-07), using physical distancing where the vaccination status of attendees is not known, and considering holding the meeting remotely if feasible, especially for long meetings, with any attendees with unknown vaccination status and where ventilation may not be optimal; and

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

Resolution NO. 21-001

WHEREAS, On July 31, 2020, the Mayor issued an emergency order that, with limited exceptions, prohibited policy bodies other than the Board of Supervisors and its committees from meeting in person under any circumstances, so as to ensure the safety of policy body members, City staff, and the public; and

WHEREAS, The San Francisco Sentencing Commission has met remotely during the COVID-19 pandemic and can continue to do so in a manner that allows public participation and transparency while minimizing health risks to members, staff, and the public that would be present with in-person meetings while this emergency continues; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That The San Francisco Sentencing Commission finds as follows:

1. As described above, the State of California and the City remain in a state of emergency due to the COVID-19 pandemic. At this meeting, the San Francisco Sentencing Commission has considered the circumstances of the state of emergency.
2. As described above, State and City officials continue to recommend measures to promote physical distancing and other social distancing measures, in some settings.
3. As described above, because of the COVID-19 pandemic, conducting meetings of this body and its workgroups in person would present imminent risks to the safety of attendees, and the state of emergency continues to directly impact the ability of members to meet safely in person; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That for at least the next 30 days meetings of the San Francisco Sentencing Commission and its workgroups will continue to occur exclusively by teleconferencing technology (and not by any in-person meetings or

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

Resolution NO. 21-001

any other meetings with public access to the places where any policy body member is present for the meeting). Such meetings of The San Francisco Sentencing Commission and its workgroups that occur by teleconferencing technology will provide an opportunity for members of the public to address this body and its workgroups and will otherwise occur in a manner that protects the statutory and constitutional rights of parties and the members of the public attending the meeting via teleconferencing; and, be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the director of the San Francisco Sentencing Commission and its workgroups is directed to place a resolution substantially similar to this resolution on the agenda of a future meeting of The San Francisco Sentencing Commission within the next 30 days. If the San Francisco Sentencing Commission and its workgroups does not meet within the next 30 days, the director is directed to place a such resolution on the agenda of the next meeting of the San Francisco Sentencing Commission.

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)
Resolution NO. 21-001

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

MEETING MINUTES

December 14, 2021

10:00 am – 12:00pm

REMOTE MEETING VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE

Members in Attendance:

San Francisco District Attorney's Office representatives District Attorney Chesa Boudin and representative Tara Anderson; Public Defenders Office Carolyn Goossen; Juvenile Probation Department Chief Miller; Adult Probation interim Chief Sharon Jackson and representative Tara Agnese; San Francisco Sheriff's Office representatives Sheriff Paul Miyamoto and Ali Riker; San Francisco Police Department representative Commander Rachel Moran; Department of Public Health Deputy Director Naveena Bobba; Reentry Council Appointee: Child Protective Services Freda Glen representative for Director Royce, Collaborative Justice Programs of the Superior Court Director Allyson West; Family Violence Council representative Andrew Tan; Re-Entry Council's Non-Profit Organization Appointee William Palmer; Board of Supervisors Appointee Theshia Naidoo; academic researcher Steve Raphael from the University of California, Berkeley.

1. Call to Order; Roll call.

San Francisco District Attorney Chesa Boudin welcomes everyone and calls the meeting to order.

Tara Anderson, San Francisco District Attorney's Director of Public Policy calls the roll for attendance by member seat.

2. Public Comment on Any Item Listed on the Agenda (discussion only).

No public comment received.

3. Findings to Allow Teleconferenced Meetings Under California Government Code Section 54953(e) (Discussion and Action).

The Sentencing Commission will consider adoption of a resolution making findings that newly enacted Government Code Section 54953(e) requires in order to allow the Sentencing Commission to hold meetings remotely, as currently required under local law, without complying with infeasible Brown Act requirements.

No public comment received. No comment from members of commission. Chief Miller makes motion, seconded by Sheriff Miyamoto to support resolution of findings; motion is passed unanimously in a Roll Call vote.

4. Review and Adoption of Meeting Minutes from September 21, 2021 (discussion & possible action).

Commission members to review minutes from the previous Sentencing Commission meeting. No edits or additions were added. No Public Comments Received.

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

Board of Supervisors Appointee Theshia Naidoo moved to accept the minutes; Adult Probation interim Chief Sharon Jackson seconded the motion. Minutes from September 21 were approved unanimously in a Roll Call vote.

5. Staff Report on Sentencing Commission Activities and Reports from the Reentry Council and the Family Violence Council (discussion & possible action).

Tara Anderson provided an overview of the work the Sentencing Commission has done and spoke to the compliance of new government code sections and told members to anticipate hybrid meeting structures in other criminal justice bodies as well. The major theme of staff time was identifying resources for making the best use of sentencing outcomes. She invited Family Violence Council representative Andrew Tan to provide an update.

Family Violence Council met November 17th 2021, and Fawn Jade Korr from Bay Area Legal Aid and Anni Chung from Self Help for the Elderly were appointed cochairs. The meeting included two presentations; 1) Emergency Housing vouchers from Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing and how that can be supportive to survivors of domestic violence, and 2) Stop AAPI Hate, on ways to support elderly populations. Additionally focusing efforts funding. The next meeting of the Family Violence Council will take place on February 16th, 2022.

Tara Anderson invited Reentry Council representative Freda Glen to provide an update.

The Reentry Council met October 28th, 2021, chaired by Jabari Jackson. City attorney has prepared a draft resolution in response to AB 361 CA Gov Code Sec 54938, and reentry council voted to allow the full council and subcommittees to meet remotely at this time. Additionally, Johanna Lacoe, Research Director of the California Policy Lab (CPL) presented information on PSA Validation report, in response to questions and concerns voiced by council members during the July 2021 reentry meeting regarding the CPL Report and Pre-Trial Diversion. David Mauroff from San Francisco Pre-Trial agreed to present information regarding the re-offense rate of people who are released to pre-trial diversion and their public safety rating. Updates were provided on the positive direction of the TRP Academy, the James Baldwin House transitional house program, and the soon to launch reentry stabilization navigational center. The next Reentry Council Meeting will take place January 27th, 2022.

No questions or Public Comments received.

6. Staff Report on Criminal Justice Racial Equity Workgroup (discussion & possible action).

District Attorney Chesa Boudin called on Victoria Westbrook and Arcelia Hurtado to provide an overview of the Criminal Justice and Racial Equity (CJRE) workgroup activities.

Arcelia Hurtado stated that the most recent workgroup meeting took place on September 18th, 2021. The meeting was a learning series consisting of members of the working group, midlevel

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

management from law enforcement, SJC fellows, and system allies. The next meeting is scheduled for January 20th, 2022. Arcelia Hurtado asked any agency with interest in joining the workgroup to reach out to her or Victoria Westbrook from Adult Probation.

No questions or Public Comments received.

7. Safety and Justice Challenge Updates by Josie Halpern-Finnerty, Safety and Justice Challenge Director (discussion & possible action).

- a. **Jail Population Report**
- b. **Strategy Updates**

Tara Anderson provided an update on collective efforts to address recent increases in jail population, and sustain reduction amid the ongoing pandemic, while addressing racial disparities in the jail population. Lucas Jennings from the Sheriff's Office shared a summary of the monthly jail report provided to the SJCWorkgroup. He noted that the average daily jail population for November increased slightly by 2% from August, and 8% increase from 2020. Additionally, in November bookings decreased 6% from August, and 4% decrease from 2020. Takeaway is average daily population has increased, since September averaging above 800; another takeaway is bookings are outpacing releases. In November, the average number of days in custody was 386 days, and the median number of days was 95 days. Of those jailed in August, at least 35% were unsheltered/transient.

Tara Anderson provided a high-level summary noting the median length of stay has a slight uptick, and the length of stay increasing for individuals incarcerated in the range of 31-364 days.

Chesa Boudin invited questions or actions from members; then invited public comment.

Tara Anderson provided other update about SJC fellows, the application for the second cohort of fellows was launched, for more information or to apply reach out to Josie or Tara. Secondly, the Jail Population Review team continues to meet regularly, discussing options of safe release to address recent jail population increases; a more intensive case resolution process may be revisited. Also, SJC members are partnering with HSH to increase support of the unhoused populations. Lastly, SJC Fellows continue to work with CJRE workgroup around new trainings and the shared developments of the shared safety plan.

No additional questions or Public Comments were received.

8. Presentation by Corporation for Supportive Housing on Expanding Access to Housing for People in the Justice System (discussion & possible action).

Heather Lyons, Regional Director of the Corporation for Supportive Housing (CSH), and Gabe Schuster Senior Program Manager on Strategy and External Affairs Teams return for a part two to their presentation. Heather introduced basic qualities needed to support solution such as employment, mental health, addiction recovery, family reunification and at the core is client-tenant choice. Lyons presented the goals of the Justice and Housing Project that aims to improve

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

the provision of, and access to, housing for disproportionately impacted populations utilizing the CSH racial disparities and disproportionality index. The project works with stakeholders and people with lived experiences to draft recommendations for addressing identified gaps that support longer-term systems engagement to provide greater housing opportunities for justice-involved people.

Gabe shared the analysis and results with the RDDI information utilizing the disparity index, an indicator that measures the “likelihood of one group experience an event, compared to the likelihood of another group experiencing the same event” (Shaw et al., 2008). Black or African American households are 12 times more likely to enter the homeless system and are 10% less likely to receive PSH than their peers. Out of all households that enter the homeless system, white non-Hispanic/Latinx households are 34% more likely to receive supportive housing. Black or African American households were twice as likely to exit the homeless system into incarceration compared to non-Black or non-African American households. Black San Franciscans are 16 times as likely to be jailed compared to non-Black San Franciscans. CSH provided a cost benefit analysis of PSH versus incarceration.

Heather shared the CSH recommendations created from focus groups with people with lived experience who recommend housing systems reevaluate minimum qualifications so more BIPOC-led organizations can apply for funding and provide more technical assistance to build capacity of these groups. Recommendations also included increasing housing supportive services such as behavioral health, physical health, and peer support services are critical for success; and developing a preemptive transition plan for justice-involved people to expedite housing access upon release. Other recommendations include implementing changes for the coordinated entry system to ensure that people from the justice sector have access to supportive housing, and easing access to systems data so that regular analysis can inform continuous quality improvement.

Tara Anderson responded by opening the floor to members with questions.

Member Carolyn Goossen of the Public Defender’s office agreed that housing is a barrier for many system-involved community members of color returning home, and she asked if CSH will be going to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors to share recommendations to influence the upcoming budget planning.

Heather Lyons responded that they do not have plans to meet with city officials, however they are working with HSH to share results. She is aware that HSH is going through a evaluative coordinated entry process, and there is opportunity to make change there.

Tara Anderson added that the January SJC meeting will host representatives of HSH to discuss intentional planning for coordinated entry, and she noted an opportunity to elevate the findings from CSH and SJC to define pathways to address the disparities in the data found in the presentation.

David Mauroff asked if he could invite Board of Supervisors or their staff to SJC meeting.

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

Tara Anderson responded indicating that HSH wants to hear from people with experience on the ground, she mentioned that she does think it's important to be intentional with sharing information and that she would see how to best keep the Board of Supervisors in the loop.

David Mauroff asked if there is a general cost per person of supportive housing per year in San Francisco.

Gabe Schuster responded that it is difficult to quantify given the parameters of the types of housing cost that are considered. The estimation in the PowerPoint could change based on the type of housing subsidies being utilized.

Ali Riker from the San Francisco Sheriff's Office noted a challenge ensuring that people who are prioritized for housing are supported properly at release from jail while they are waiting for unit to be available. Ali gave thanks to the SJC Bridge Funding that proved to be a very effective tool. The funding was administered through the discharge planning and provided over 2200 nights of housing for 31 individuals as of mid-November; 77% of those clients were BIPOC. She emphasized the importance of finding supplemental funding due to the temporariness of the Bridge funds and reiterates Carolyn Goossen's suggestion of asking the Mayor and Board of Supervisors for more funding.

William Palmer commented on the issue of housing access and the limited access to gain city funding. He referenced the limitations of the funding and adequate housing options. His question revolved around ways for individuals/groups to partner with city agencies so that it is not limited and system impacted people are embedded into these agencies.

Tara Agnese from Adult Probation turned the floor to Destiny Pletsch, to provide relevant context to Palmer's sentiments. Destiny expressed the gratitude for what was shared, and provided more insight on the difficulties of becoming a city vendor. She noted that barriers to become vendors is an equity issue, and highlighted their efforts to support more people in becoming city vendors.

Carolyn Gooen asked if we will be looking at long term housing strategies at the commission meetings in January.

Tara Anderson responded that the hope is the next SJC meeting in January with HSH is an opportunity to inform the shared work to solve the long-term housing barriers for justice-involved people. She mentioned the shift that has allowed for real meaningful pathways for housing opportunities, similar to the success Ali Riker raised, which is in alignment with the recommendations from CSH. It is the goal to have a long-term strategic plan, she also noted the MacArthur Foundation is interested in directing funds for housing for justice involved individuals, starting with investing in planning.

William Palmer responded that people commute to the city to work, and many people will continue to rent and be subjected to price points. He noted the importance of teaching unhoused people how to be housed.

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

Tara Anderson agreed with Member Palmer and added that to the list of recommendations and noted that the navigation center is opening soon in response to a comment by Steve Raphael.

No additional comments were received from the public.

9. Presentation on Sentencing Commission Annual Report by Tara Anderson, Director of Policy, San Francisco District Attorney's Office (discussion & possible action).

Tara Anderson provided a summary of the 2021 report which includes the overview of the sentencing commissions authority, primary responsibilities, and transitions of members. In 2022, the sentencing commission will need to make the case to the Mayor and Board as to whether the body should continue. The report includes a summary of meeting and presenters from the year, and the Safety and Justice goals and milestones. A milestone including the justice dashboard, the first of its kind public resource in San Francisco. Another section of the report covers work from the CJRE workgroup, that shows all criminal legal partners are coming together to address persistent and embedded policies that results in racial disparities in our legal system. The last section around member updates takes a position they do not have anyone from the bench participate in our meetings, they have permitted Allyson West to participate in the discussion. Future activities in 2022 include ongoing report out from SJC, a review of sentencing trends to understand what the local sentencing outcomes are, and changes in law as it relates to sentencing policies. Lastly the launch of the Justice Reinvestment Initiative Young Adult Justice Initiative; the goal of the Young Adult Justice Initiative is to look at lessons learned from the youth action plan and coordinated look into that work; also recognizing a third of young people under the jurisdiction of our justice system are young adults. She encouraged members to collaborate and add insight to future activities.

District Attorney Chesa Boudin asked members reviewed the report. A motion to approved the report was made by Paul Miyamoto, seconded by member Steve Raphael; it passed unanimously by a Roll Call vote.

No public comment was received.

10. Members' Comments, Questions, Requests for Future Agenda Items (discussion & possible action).

William Palmer shared his concerns around Parole Staff's negligence with parolee documentation, which has caused bottleneck to people's ability to return home promptly. He also noted that San Francisco parolees are uniquely bound to a 50 mile travel radius, especially for people who have put in the work to create a life-plan and have stable employment that may require travel. He advocated for more transparency regarding agents who have been fired and suggests creating an evaluative process by parolees and discharged parolees to ensure officers are given feedback on positive integrity or discriminatory activities. Lastly, he seeks updates on reforms and ways to support with hiring more system impacted people to promote inclusion and equity.

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

City & County of San Francisco

(Administrative Code 5.250 through 5.250-3)

Tara Anderson responded that she would connect with Victoria Westbrook at Adult Probation to discuss Member Palmer's discussion points to see if they can be under the auspice of the Reentry Council and if not what it could like under the Sentencing Commission. She also acknowledged that there has been interest in a future presentation on universal basic income and asked the members to share any presentations that they think may be useful for future commissioner meetings.

No public comment was received.

11. Public Comment on Any Item Listed Above, as well as Items not Listed on the Agenda.

No Public Comments received.

12. Adjournment.

Chief Miller made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Board of Supervisors Appointee Theshia Naidoo seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously in a Roll Call vote.

Next meeting will take place in March 2022.

Adjourned at 11:50 pm.

Introducing the Second Cohort of Safety + Justice Challenge Fellows

The **Safety and Justice Challenge Fellowship**, supported by a MacArthur Foundation Safety and Justice Challenge (SJC) grant, is an effort to integrate and strengthen partnerships with people directly impacted by the criminal legal system into efforts to safely reduce the local jail population and eliminate racial disparities in the justice system. The District Attorney's Office, in collaboration with local partners at Bright Research Group, have selected an second cohort of fellows who will work with SJC partners over the next five months to identify innovative approaches and best practices in the legal system. Bios are included below.

Welcome to the new SJC Fellows!

Gloria Berry was born and raised in San Francisco, CA. She is a veteran with thirteen years of service, which included twelve years in technology and three years of use of force training. She also worked for eight years at San Quentin where she was promoted to Sergeant. Gloria held several other jobs including recruiter, special education teacher's aid, census bureau partner with the Black community, group home caregiver, delivery driver, and single room occupancy desk clerk in the Tenderloin. In 2012, she was diagnosed with a chronic incurable blood disease, arrested for possessing marijuana, and lost her home, which lead to her being homeless for three years. Gloria was elected to the SF Democratic County Central Committee in 2020, where she is Chair of the SF DCCC Black Lives Matter Committee. She is also the founder of Berry Powerful Ladies, a mentorship program.



John Lam is currently a reentry student majoring in political science at UC Berkeley. He works full-time as a special project's coordinator with Asian Prisoner Support Committee in Oakland CA. As a child of Vietnamese political refugees in America, he and his family's early experiences shaped his interest in becoming a political scientist and policy specialist. After serving 16 years in prison, he is interested in crafting and passing policy that would address the issues of crimmigration and reentry. He intends to pursue a master's in public policy and gain the experience and expertise needed to build a leadership pipeline for formerly incarcerated individuals to succeed. In his free time, John enjoys outdoor activities, learning to code and trying out new cuisines.



Malachi Scott is currently serving as the re-entry/community restorative justice coordinator for Restorative Justice for Oakland Youth (RJOY). He holds restorative circles in the juvenile detention center, and he is helping to build a community restorative justice framework in North Oakland. He co-founded the North Oakland Restorative Justice Council and served on the Safety and Services Oversight Commission (Measure Z) in Oakland. Malachi has journalistic experience with written articles in the New York Times and the San Francisco Chronicle and has been featured in other articles around the issue of incarceration. He played a major role in Shakti Butler's film *Healing Justice*. Malachi came to restorative justice through the Victim Offender Education Group, a program of the Insight Prison Project, while incarcerated at San Quentin State Prison. During his incarceration he obtained an associate degree, co-founded a restorative justice base group called Kid C.A.T. (Creating Awareness Together), and was the sports editor for the San Quentin News. He is also a certified Life Coach.



Rasheed Stanley-Lockheart currently serves as the Director of Re-entry at the Ahimsa Collective where he works with people re-entering community after a period of incarceration. He is a formerly incarcerated person who spent much of his adult life incarcerated. Growing up in a world surrounded by toxic-masculinity, Rasheed was able to find the love, empathy, and emotional connections he needed to hold space for healing. He participated in and facilitated groups within the prisons like SQUIRES (San Quentin Utilization of Inmate Resources Experiences and Studies), VOEG (Victim Offender Education Group), and a Men's Healing Circle. Most recently, Rasheed worked with a grass roots organization called Planting Justice, where he worked as a re-entry coordinator. This became a life-long journey that would center his focus around currently and formerly incarcerated people. Rasheed brings firsthand experience with the criminal justice system and his ability to navigate complex issues and ambiguous environments to his work. In addition to his work with The Ahimsa Collective, Rasheed serves as an advocate for formerly incarcerated people and has been featured in multiple media outlets. Most recently Rasheed has been a strong advocate in helping to change (AB2147), a law barring formerly incarcerated firefighters from getting EMT certifications preventing them from qualifying for firefighting careers post incarceration.



Lisa Wood currently serves as a Clinical Supervisor at Westside Community Services. One of her many positions is serving as the Liaison for Behavioral Health Court in San Francisco and working closely with the courts, District Attorney's Office, and the Public Defender's Office to assist clients with mental health services. She has also supervised the Nova Project through the San Francisco Sheriff's Department since 2009, working closely with those involved in the justice system. Lisa assists with intensive case management, housing assistance, and peer support. She is also the Director for Westside Methadone Clinic, assisting patients with medically assisted treatment. Lisa's commitment to her work comes from her own intimate involvement in the justice system. With over 20 years of recovery, she is a strong advocate for people in the justice system and is passionate about role modeling through living free. She is an active member of Positive Directions Equals Change, an organization founded to work with people in recovery through principles of sobriety, community, and peer support.



SJC WORKING GROUP

Tuesday Mar 15th,
2022




Supported by the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation

Safety and Justice Challenge February 2022 Report

Average Daily Population

This Month	Change from last month	Change from last year
841	4% ↓	4% ↑


Average Daily Population Last 12 Months



Bookings

This Month	Change from last month	Change from last year
730	6% ↓	15% ↓


Bookings Last 12 Months



Releases


This Month	Change from last month	Change from last year
768	0%	6% ↓

Releases Last 12 Months




Safety and Justice Challenge February 2022 Report

Monthly difference in bookings vs. releases



Snapshot Population February 2022 Report

Time in custody for snapshot population on February 15, 2022






Snapshot Population 853

Average time in custody 374

Median time in custody 102


Transgender/Non-Binary Population 22

	Feb	Last 12 Months	
Black	44%	Low 42 High 47	
White	22%	Low 21 High 25	
Hispanic	23%	Low 21 High 23	
API	6%	Low 6 High 7	
Other	5%	Low 4 High 5	




Monthly Bookings February 2022


Crime Class at Booking



Case Load per Booking Number




On View Charges




	Feb	Last 12 Months
Black	31%	Low 32 High 39
White	28%	Low 25 High 31
Hispanic	33%	Low 25 High 33
API	6%	Low 5 High 9
Other	2%	Low 1 High 3


Ethnicity and Race



Sex




Age at Booking



Monthly Releases February 2022

Average and median length of stay for released individuals






Released for month 768

Average length of stay days 29



Median length of stay 3.4 days

	Feb	Last 12 Months
Black	32%	Low 31 High 37
White	26%	Low 24 High 31
Hispanic	33%	Low 26 High 33
API	7%	Low 6 High 8
Other	2%	Low 1 High 3



Snapshot Residency February 2022


Snapshot Population by Residency




Female Population February 2022

Snapshot
Population
Female
50


Female Length of Stay of Snapshot Population




Ethnic and Race Percent



Age at Booking Snapshot Population




Reported Female Residency






Sentenced of the Snapshot Population February 2022

Legal Status of Confined Individuals



	Feb	Last 12 Months	
Black	45%	Low 25	High 58
White	32%	Low 4	High 54
Hispanic	9%	Low 9	High 47
API	14%	Low 4	High 16
Other	0%	Low 0	High 19



END OF SLIDESHOW




Supported by the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation

ARREST, PROSECUTION, AND DISPOSITIONAL TRENDS, 2021


San Francisco Sentencing Commission

March 15, 2022


IN 2021, 8,110
ARRESTS WERE
PRESENTED TO THE
SAN FRANCISCO
DISTRICT
ATTORNEYS OFFICE




A TOTAL OF 5,323
CRIMINAL CASES WERE
FILED, INCLUDING
SEVERAL HUNDRED
MTRS.



ALMOST THAN 4000
CASES REACHED THEIR
FINAL RESOLUTION, OF
WHICH 1,311 RESULTED
IN A CRIMINAL
CONVICTION AND 1,295
RESOLVED THROUGH A
SUCCESSFUL
COMPLETION OF
DIVERSION.
AS SHOWN BY MEDIAN
TIME TO CLOSE, MOST
CASES THAT RESOLVED
IN 2021 WERE FILED IN
2019 OR 2020.





THE VAST
MAJORITY OF
CONVICTIONS
RESULTED IN A
SENTENCE TO
JAIL FOLLOWED
BY PROBATION
SUPERVISION



ALL DASHBOARDS
AVAILABLE AT
[SFDISTRICTATTORNEY.ORG/POLICY/DATA-DASHBOARDS](https://sfdistrictattorney.org/policy/data-dashboards)
INCLUDING JUSTICE
DASHBOARD, NOW
RENAMED OUTCOMES
AND DESISTANCE

Outcomes and Desistance





San Francisco
Health Network

SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH

SF DPH CALAIM

March 14, 2022

What is CalAIM?



CalAIM is a multi-year initiative administered by DHCS to ultimately improve the health outcomes, quality of life and consumer experience for Medi-Cal beneficiaries.

CalAIM has three primary goals:

Identify and manage member risk and need through whole person care approaches while addressing social determinants of health

Move Medi-Cal to a more consistent and seamless system by reducing complexity and increasing flexibility

Improve quality outcomes, reduce health disparities, and drive delivery system transformation through value-based initiatives, modernization of systems, and payment reform

CalAIM will transform how we deliver care in MediCal



- **Statewide funding estimates from Governor's Budget (May Revision)**
\$450M statewide for ECM
\$115M of additional funding for managed care plan rates to support the transition of Whole Person Care
\$600M over 2.5 years for incentive payments to managed care plans to invest in voluntary ILOS
Unknown at this time how funds will be spread across the state and to plans.

Whole Person Care (WPC)

One year extension of 1115 Waiver approved by CMS – DPH budget for year 6 approved by DHCS



DPH received \$18M per year in federal funding that will end this year

Financial Implications

Existing funding streams will no longer be available with CalAIM (WPC/HHP)

New funding streams will be available for services we already provide or could start providing
Although sustainability of WPC is a priority for DHCS, WPC counties should expect CalAIM to subsidize, not fully replace funding received under the pilot





CalAIM 5-year Rollout:


- January 2022: Enhanced Care Management
- July 2022: Community Supports
- 2023: Justice—Involved Populations
- 2023: Long-Term Care
- 2024: Foster Youth and other at-risk pediatric populations



Enhanced Care Management




ECM system coordination



1

**Community-based
or hospital-based
outreach**


311 → SFHOT →
Street Outreach Team



2

**Multidisciplinary
care planning**

Case Conference →
Care Plan



3

**Provider
coordination and
information
sharing**

PES → Care Team
Coordination




**ECM Care Manager
supports client
across journey**



4

**Timely access and
linkage to services**

Hummingbird
Residential Treatment



5

**Progress towards
client goals and
transition
planning**

Navigation Center →
Home




San Francisco
Department of Public Health



ECM Member Enrollment Update

% of Roster Triage / ECM Team Assignment






SFDPH: ECM progress

- ECM launched Jan 1, 2022
- ECM Admin Team is triaging MCP members (n = 139) into the appropriate ECM teams
- Outreach has begun
- *Next* Populations of Focus
 - Justice-involved/jail health population
 - SNF/LHH

ECM Program
Primary Care ECM
Street Medicine ECM
BHS ECM
PSH Enhanced Services



CalAIM: Community Supports



COMMUNITY SUPPORTS: OPTIONAL for Managed Care Plans (MCPs) and optional for beneficiaries

Are **medically appropriate** and **cost-effective** services provided as a substitute for covered services under the State Plan

Replaces Whole Person Care Pilot and **expands on services** to be supported through Medi-Cal managed care

Managed Care Plans (MCPs) must be able to report ILOS encounters and costs to the state

Plans can contract directly with ILOS provider or with ECM provider


14 proposed service bundles





Community Supports progress

- **Medical Respite:** Began January 1 2022
- **Sobering:** July 1 2022
- **Housing Navigation / Deposits:** January 1 2023
- **Housing Tenancy/Sustaining services:** July 2023
- **Food support / Medically Tailored Meals:** July 2023
- **Under discussion:** Other CS services (chronic disease coordination, etc)




CalAIM: Justice Involved Populations




CalAIM justice-involved initiatives support justice-involved individuals by providing key services pre-release, enrolling them in Medi-Cal coverage, and connecting them with behavioral health, social services, and other providers that can support their re-entry

Initiatives Include:



CalAIM Data Sharing Infrastructure



Managed Care Plans send and receive client data enabling eligibility determination and billing for services.

DPH's Data Infrastructure integrates medical, behavioral health, and housing data for use at the point of care and for population level reporting.

New ECM Coordination Team provides administrative, data analytics and QA support for ECM & ILOS providers and is accountable for ECM reporting to the health plans.

ECM & ILOS Providers access integrated data relevant to providing ECM services at the point of care. ECM enrollment, assessments, care and transition plans, and services are documented in an EHR.







DHCS Major Program Initiatives - Go-Live Dates (pending readiness and federal approvals)

Updated: 1/10/2022

Category	Activity	1/22	7/22	10/22	1/23	7/23	1/24	1/25	1/26	2027
	Administrative Integration of SMH and SUD	Starts								Fully Integrated
	Benefits Standardization	Transplant In/MSSP out			LTC					
	Dental (new benefits and P4P)	X								
	Enhanced Care Management (ECM)/Community Supports (ILOS)	X	X		X	X				
	Incentive Payments	X			X		X			
	Mandatory Managed Care Enrollment	Non-Duals			Duals					
	PATH Funds (ECM, Community Supports, Justice-Involved)	X								
	Regional Capitation Rates and Shared Savings/Risk	X			X		X	X	X	
	Specialty Mental Health Services - Criteria for Services	X								
	Behavioral Health No Wrong Door		X							
CalAIM/Waiver	Contingency Management		X							
	SMI/SED IMD Waiver			Earliest to CMS		X				
	Transition to Statewide LTSS and D-SNP (CCI ends)		Feasibility Study		CCI Counties				Non-CCI Counties	Statewide MLTSS
	Behavioral Health CPT Code Transition					X				
	Behavioral Health Standard Screening and Transition Tools				X					
	Improving Beneficiary Contact and Demographic Information				X					
	Justice-Involved Package				X					
	Population Health Management (including Service)				X					
	Behavioral Health Payment Reform					X				
	County CCS Oversight					X				
	NCQA Accreditation								X	
	Full Integration Plans									X
	County Eligibility and Oversight				X					
	Foster Care Model of Care (TBD)									
	DMC-ODS Traditional Healers and Natural Helpers (TBD)									



SF DPH CalAIM Goals

Center patient experience, quality, and health equity in our programs.

Collaborate & Align with the Health Plans: improve communication, data sharing to meet state requirements and provide coordinated care.

Ensure continuity: ensure WPC and Health Homes recipients are not left behind.

Ensure sustainability: Build services in a sustainable way that can draw down revenue; eliminate duplication and inefficiencies in case management services.

Get patients to the best care option for them: establish clear roles and priorities across ECM teams to ensure care management services meet the needs of the populations.

Be realistic about what we can build and when and create detailed plans for how we will grow capacity, efficiency over time.

Prioritize integration across delivery systems: leverage the aligned goals of CalAIM, Mental Health SF, and Whole Person Integrated Care to change the model to a more integrated approach.





Questions?

